LAW OFFICES OF

VAN COTT, BAGLEY, CORNWALL & MCCARTHY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

SUITE 1600

50 SOUTH MAIN STREET

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84144

TELEPHONE (801) 532-3333

TELEX 453149

TELECOPIER (801) 534-0058

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO

POST OFFICE BOX 45340

84145

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

BENNETT, HARKNESS & KIRKPATRICK 1874-1890

BENNETT, MARSHALL & BRADLEY 1890-1896

BENNETT, HARKNESS, HOWAT SUTHERLAND & VAN COTT 1896-1902

SUTHERLAND, VAN COTT & ALLISON 1902-1907

VAN COTT, RITER & FARNSWORTH 1917-1947

OGDEN OFFICE SUITE 900 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD OGDEN, UTAH 84401 (801) 394-5783

OF COUNSEL
CLIFFORD L. ASHTON
DALE T. BROWNING
JOHN CRAWFORD, JR.
WILLIAM G. FOWLER
MARLIN K. JENSEN
GEORGE M. MCWIELAN
THOMAS L. MONSON
MICHAEL F. RICHMAN

October 2, 1990

TO:

LEONARD J. LEWIS

DAVID E. SALISBURY SCOTT WOODLAND

LEGNARD J. LEWIS
DAVIO E. SALISBURY
SCOTT WOODLAND
MAN S. JOHNSON
ID L. GILLETTE
"CHARD K. SAGER
STEPHEN D. SWINDLE
ROBERT D. MERRILL
GREGORY P. WILLIAMS
ALAN F. MECHAM
BRENT J. GIAUQUE
E. SCOTT SAVAGE
CHRIS WANGSGARD
JOHN S. KIRKHAM
KENNETH W. YEATES
RAND L. COOK
JOHN S. KIRKHAM
ATHUR B. RALPH
ALAN L. SULLIVAN
ROBERT A. PETERSON
J. KEITH ADAMS
PHILLIP WM. LEAR
THOMAS T. BILLINGS
RICHARD C. SKEEN
DANNY C. KELLY
STEVEN D. WOODLAND
RICHARD H. JOHNSON, II
M. MICHAEL KELLER
BRENT D. CHRISTENSEN
ELIZABETH A. WHITSETT
JEFFREY E. NELSON
RATIGICAL
RATINGTON
ATHUR M. LEITH
DAVIO J. JOROAN
R. STEPHEN MARSHALL
PAUL M. DURHAM
HOMAS G. BERGGREN
MICHAEL J. GLASMANN
MICHAEL J. GLASMANN
MICHAEL J. GLASMANN

MEMBERS, UTAH SUPREME COURT ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CIVIL **PROCEDURE**

Dear Advisory Committee Member:

ERVIN R. HOLMES
RONALD G. MOFFIT
ERIC C. OLSON
CAROLYN MONTGOMERY
PATRICK J. O'MARA
ROBERT B. LENCE
MATTHEW F. MCNULTY, III
S. ROBERT BRADLEY
JON C. CHRISTIANSEN
GUY P. KROESCHE
JOHN A. ANDERSON
JOHN T. NIELSEN
WANNE D. SWAN
GREGORY N. BARRICK
JULE A. MATIS

ERVIN R. HOLMES

JULIE A. MATIS SCOTT M. HADLEY

JULIE A. MATIS
SCOTT M. HADLEY
TIMOTHY W. BLACKBURN
WILLIAM R. RICHARDS
DONALD L. DALTON
GERALD H. SUNIVILLE
THOMAS E. NELSON
MARILYN M. HENRIKSEN
DAVID R. BLACK
JOHN W. ANDREWS
MARVIN D. BAGLEY
KATHRYN H. SNEDAKER
RONALD W. GOSS
DAVID L. ARRINGTON
SUSAN G. LAWRENCE
PHYLLIS J. VETTER
CASEY K. MEGARVEY
KATHRYN DEAN KENDELL
DOUGLAS A. TAGGART
JEREMY M. HOFFMAN
KATHERINE A. FOX
JODI L. HOWICK
DOUGLAS B. THOMAS
BRYON J. BENEVENTO
DANIELLE FERRON

The next meeting of the Supreme Court Advisory Committee will be held on Wednesday, October 17, beginning at 4 I apologize for cancelling our last meeting at the last minute, but it became clear that most of the members of our committee would be actively involved in the Utah Judicial Conference on that date. At any rate, I look forward to seeing you on October 17.

Since our last meeting, I have been in contact with Chief Justice Hall of the Utah Supreme Court about Rule 63 revisions. You will recall that our committee definitively rejected Jackson Howard's proposal for modifications to Rule 63 that would permit peremptory challenges of judges. You may also recall that Terry Kogan prepared an alternative modification, which he designated as Rule 63A, which would permit parties, by stipulation, to change the judge assigned to a case once during the course of the litigation. At our last meeting, the committee narrowly rejected this modification. In light of the close vote, I suggested that we approach the Court to obtain a sense of its feeling about this type of proposal.

I provided the Chief Justice and other members of the Court with a copy of Terry's draft. Last week, the Chief Justice reported back to me that the Court would be interested in adopting this type of rule. In effect, we have been asked by the Court to put this rule in final form and submit it for publication. We will naturally want to discuss this issue, along with all the others that I described in my last letter, on October 17. For those of you who may not have received it

Members, USCACCP October 2, 1990 Page 2

earlier this year, I have enclosed a copy of Terry Kogan's draft Rule 63A.

Again, I look forward to seeing you on October 17.

Very truly yours,

Alan L. Sullivan

ALS:crm

Cc: Carlie Christensen, Esq. Jaryl Rencher, Esq. John Fellows, Esq.

DRAFT

Rule 63A. Change of Judge as a Matter of Right By Consent of All Parties

- (a) Nature of Proceedings. In any civil action pending in a trial court in this state, upon unanimous consent of all parties, said parties are entitled as a matter of right to one change of judge in the action. To invoke said entitlement, all parties shall jointly file with the Clerk of Court a "Notice of Change of Judge." The notice shall be signed by all parties and shall state the name of the judge to be changed. The notice shall neither specify grounds for the requested change nor be accompanied by any affidavit.
- (b) *Time*. Failure to file a timely notice precludes a change of judge as a matter of right. The notice shall be filed not later than ten (10) days after service of a written order setting the action for status conference, pretrial conference, hearing on the first contested motion, or for trial, or not later than twenty (20) days after service of a written notice of assignment of the judge, whichever occurs first.
- (c) Waiver. In instances in which a judge has been permanently assigned to a case, the parties waive their entitlement to change that judge as a matter of right by any party's knowingly participating before that judge in:
 - (i) Any judicial proceeding which concerns the merits of the action and involves the consideration of evidence or of affidavits; or
 - (ii) A pretrial conference; or
 - (iii) The commencement of trial.
- (d) Assignment of Action. After a Notice of Change of Judge is timely filed, the presiding judge shall immediately assign the matter to a new judge within that judicial district. Should there be no other available judge within the district, the presiding judge shall immediately notify the administrative director in writing and request that he obtain from the Chief Justice an order assigning the case to another judge.
- (e) Nondisclosure to Court. No party shall communicate to the court, or cause another to communicate to the court, the fact of one party's seeking consent to a Notice of Change of Judge.
- (f) Rule 63 Unaffected. Filing or failing to file a Notice of Change of Judge hereunder in no way affects a party's entitlement to proceed pursuant to Rule 63(b) concerning disqualification of a judge based on bias or prejudice.

Utah Supreme Court Advisory Committee on Civil Procedure

AGENDA

October 17, 1990

- 1. Welcome and approval of minutes
- 2. Reconsideration of proposed Rule 63A--change of judge as a matter of right by consent of all parties (Alan Sullivan and Terry Kogan)
- 3. Consideration of redraft of modifications to Rule 17(d) (Terrie McIntosh)
- 4. Consideration and approval of modifications to proposed Rule 65B--extraordinary writs (Alan Sullivan)
- 5. Consideration and approval of modifications to Rule 65A--injunctions (Alan Sullivan)
- 6. Report from subcommittee on changes to Rule 56--summary judgment (Sam Alba)
- 7. Report from subcommittee on changes to Rules 64A (prejudgment writs generally), 64B (replevin), and 64C (attachment) (Brad Baldwin)